

City Council Memorandum

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL DATE: SEPTEMBER 1, 2020

FROM: CITY ATTORNEY WARDS: ALL

SUBJECT: OUTSIDE COUNSEL EXPENDITURE REPORT

ISSUE:

The issue for City Council consideration is the Outside Counsel Expenditure Report for the period of April 1, 2020 through June 30, 2020, as provided in the outside counsel policy approved by City Council on June 16, 2015.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

That the City Council receives and files the attached report.

BACKGROUND:

In the continuing effort of transparency and accountability, City Council approved the City Attorney's recommendation of quarterly reporting of the outside counsel expenditures. This report includes the current spending for the prior quarter and the cumulative spending from inception of the matter grouped by law firm name. All closed matters are highlighted and will not be included in the next report. It should be noted that bond counsel and contingency payments are not paid through the City's accounts payable division and therefore must be manually added to information derived from the City's accounting system. In the case of bond counsel payments, the payments are typically made directly by the bond trustee from bond proceeds. In the case of contingency payments, the payments are typically deducted from the funds received prior to deposit by the City.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no fiscal impact at this time.

Prepared by: Kristi J. Smith, Chief Assistant City Attorney for

Gary G. Geuss, City Attorney

Attachment: Outside Counsel Expenditure Report

					EXPENDITURES			
LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	4/1/20 - TOTAL AS OF 6/30/2020 6/30/20	BALANCE		
ALSTON BIRD								
	Public Watchdogs v CA State Lands Commission	CEQA Lawsuit	520	5,000	1	-	5,000	
	Samuel Lawrence Foundation v Coastal Commission	Re Songs		5,370	-	-	5,370	
	Public Watchdogs v CA State Lands Commission	Appeal	520	3,580	-	-	3,580	
Subtotal								
BAKER HOSTETLER								
DAKENTIOSTETEEN	Cyber Security Breach	Prevention/Advice	510	20,000	-	2,375	17,625	
	Business Tax Security	Prevention/Advice	630	4,000	-	1,838	2,162	
Subtotal								
BEST BEST KRIEGER								
	General Advice	Miscellaneous	101	25,000	-	14,987	10,013	
	General Advice	RPU	101	13,000	-	5,918	7,082	
	RTRP/CPUC	Advice	510	16,455	-	8,326	8,129	
	City v DOF	Anticipated litigation	630	65,000	-	60,085	4,915	
	Riverwalk CSD Foreclosure	Collection	101	10,000	-	2,645	7,355	
	Gage Canal	Advisory	101	20,000	ī	17,548	2,452	
	General Advice	HR/401(a) Advisory	101	45,000	6,166	21,007	23,993	
	Live Nation/Fox Theatre	Advisory	101	5,000	-	-	5,000	
	Ice Energy	Bankruptcy		12,000	2,074	3,968	8,032	
	Riverside Community Hospital	Tax Matter	101	1,500	1,500	1,500		
Subtotal					9,740			

					EXPENDITURES			
LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	4/1/20 - 6/30/2020	TOTAL AS OF 6/30/20	BALANCE	
BUCHALTER								
	SCE/CPC Application[1]	RTRP	520	450,000	18,220	415,730	34,270	
	CPUC Rulemaking	PSPS	520	60,000	8,209	26,013	33,987	
Subtotal					26,429			
BLAISDELL, CHURCH & JOHNSON								
	Bond Counsel*	IPP Generation Plant	510	5,000		1,000	4,000	
Subtotal								
BURKE WILLIAMS & SORENSEN	Friends of Riverside Airport v City	Ag Park Litigation	630	350,000	271,100	307,447	42,553	
Subtotal					271,100			
CHAPMAN AND CUTLER								
	Bond Counsel*							
CONSTANTINE CANNON								
	State of California et al. ex rel. [Under Seal] v [Under Seal]	Litigation re wireless cell service/contingency		-		-	-	
Subtotal								
COTCHETT PITRE & McCARTHY								
	Bond Derivative Litigation	Contingency*		-		-	-	

					EXPEN	DITURES		
LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	4/1/20 - 6/30/2020	TOTAL AS OF 6/30/20	BALANCE	
COLANTUONO HIGHSMITH & WHATLEY								
	General matters	Prop 218 and conflicts advice	520	40,400	-	36,704	3,696	
	General Advice	CAO	101	15,000	-	13,771	1,229	
	General/RPU	Electric & Water Cost Service Study	520	25,000	-	65	24,935	
	Parada II v City	GFT Challenge/Litigation	101	162,500	30,999	150,171	12,329	
	Bailey, William R. v City***	Litigation Initiated by Mayor	101	338,000	10,949	327,409	10,591	
	Clymer, R. Ben v City	Challenge to Russo Contract	101	155,000	14,735	145,812	9,188	
	Nunez, J. v City	Claim	101	25,000		1,902	23,098	
	Carl Pongs v City	Water Rate Challenge	520	100,000	3,142	10,515	89,485	
	Simpson v City	Water GFT Challenge	520	60,000	6,647	16,421	43,579	
Subtotal					66,472			
ELLISON SCHNEIDER								
	1969 Judgment*	Bunker Hill Basin	510	30,000	-	12,249	17,751	
Subtotal							_	
GIBBS GIDEON								
	General Public Contracting	Advisory	101	7,500		2,883	4,617	
Subtotal								

					EXPEN	DITURES		
LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME BRIEF	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	4/1/20 - 6/30/2020	TOTAL AS OF 6/30/20	BALANCE	
CDEINIES MARDTIN								
GREINES MARTIN STEIN & RICHLAND								
	Clymer v City**	Challenge to Russo Contract	630	131,338	11,623	135,320	(3,982)	
	Easley, Michael v. City	Federal civil rights action	630	137,695	-	117,736	19,959	
	Nichols, Raymond v City**	Federal civil rights action	630	107,455	89	102,982	4,473	
	Burtis v City	Appellate advice	630	15,000		14,613	387	
	Louis, Jean-Louis v City	Appellate advice	630	15,000		9,748	5,252	
Subtotal					11,712			
JONES HALL								
	Bond Counsel*	SIFMA		40,000		-	40,000	
	Bond Counsel*	Electric and Water Bonds 2018		45,000		-	45,000	
	Bond Counsel*	2019 Electric & Water Bonds		90,000		-	90,000	
Subtotal								
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH								
	Easley, Michael v City	Civil rights litigation	630	406,500	31,857	364,266	42,234	
	Friends of Riverside Airport v Dept of the Army*	CEQA Litigation/Environmental	630	500,000		115,483	384,517	
Subtotal					31,857			

				EXPENDITURES			
MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	4/1/20 - 6/30/2020	TOTAL AS OF 6/30/20	BALANCE	
General Advice	Misc	101	1,000	-	447	553	
TCP Contaminataion	Advisory/contingency*						
City v Black & Decker (U.S), Inc.	Perchlorate litigation/contingency*						
SBCTA v City	Condemnation		150,000	ı	13,413	86,587	
Clymer v City	Challenge to Russo Contract	630	75,000	960	18,376	56,624	
Louis, Jean-Louis v City	Civil rights litigation	630	176,000	480	16,810	159,190	
Nichols, Raymond v City	Civil rights litigation	630	120,000	420	49,809	70,191	
Wilder, S. v City	Suit for Wrongful Termination	630	100,000	4,400	6,760	93,240	
				6,260		_	
	General Advice TCP Contaminataion City v Black & Decker (U.S), Inc. SBCTA v City Clymer v City Louis, Jean-Louis v City Nichols, Raymond v City	General Advice Misc TCP Contaminataion Advisory/contingency* City v Black & Decker (U.S), Inc. Perchlorate litigation/contingency* SBCTA v City Condemnation Clymer v City Challenge to Russo Contract Louis, Jean-Louis v City Nichols, Raymond v City Civil rights litigation	General Advice Misc 101 TCP Contaminataion Advisory/contingency* City v Black & Decker (U.S), Inc. Perchlorate litigation/contingency* SBCTA v City Condemnation Clymer v City Challenge to Russo Contract Louis, Jean-Louis v City Civil rights litigation 630 Nichols, Raymond v City Civil rights litigation 630	MATTER/CASE NAME BRIEF DESCRIPTION Source Spending Limit Jono General Advice Misc 101 1,000 TCP Contaminataion Advisory/contingency* City v Black & Decker (U.S), Inc. Perchlorate litigation/contingency* SBCTA v City Condemnation 150,000 Clymer v City Challenge to Russo Contract 630 75,000 Louis, Jean-Louis v City Civil rights litigation 630 176,000 Nichols, Raymond v City Civil rights litigation 630 120,000	MATTER/CASE NAME BRIEF DESCRIPTION Funding Source Authorized Spending Limit 4/1/20 - 6/30/2020 General Advice Misc 101 1,000 - TCP Contaminataion Advisory/contingency* — City v Black & Decker (U.S), Inc. Perchlorate litigation/contingency* — SBCTA v City Condemnation 150,000 - Clymer v City Condemnation 630 75,000 960 Louis, Jean-Louis v City Civil rights litigation 630 176,000 480 Nichols, Raymond v City Civil rights litigation 630 120,000 420 Wilder, S. v City Suit for Wrongful Termination 630 100,000 4,400	MATTER/CASE NAME BRIEF DESCRIPTION Funding Source Authorized Spending Limit 4/1/20 - 6/30/2020 TOTAL AS OF 6/30/202 General Advice Misc 101 1,000 - 447 TCP Contaminataion Advisory/contingency* -	

LAW FIRM					EXPENDITURES			
	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Authorized Source Spending Limit	4/1/20 - 6/30/2020	TOTAL AS OF 6/30/20	BALANCE		
STRADLING YOCCA								
CARLSON & RAUTH								
	Bond Counsel	2006 Lease Revenue (Galleria)		55,000		-	55,000	
	Bond Counsel	2018 Sewer Revenue Bonds		65,000		-	65,000	
	Bond Counsel	2019 Electric and Water Bonds		140,000		-	140,000	
	Bond Counsel	Debt Disclosure Reports		15,000		-	15,000	
	Bond Counsel	CFDs and Assessment Districts		15,000		-	15,000	
	Bond Counsel	2015A Sewer Revenue Bonds		10,000		-	10,000	
Subtotal								
THINK LEGAL360								
	Harris, Bobby v. City	Employment Litigation	630	485,000	387	278,840	206,160	
Subtotal					387			
THOMPSON COBURN								
	FERC**	Regulatory	510	750,000	241,754	734,070	15,930	
	LADWP Transmission Agreements	Potential Extension	510	25,000		-	25,000	
Subtotal					241,754			
TOTAL					665,611			

				EXPENDITURES		DITURES		
LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	4/1/20 - 6/30/2020	TOTAL AS OF 6/30/20	BALANCE	
Note: Plaintiff's Case - Outside Counsel Retained for Recovery								
BURKE WILLIAMS								
SORENSEN								
	City v. Jurupa/Rubidoux CSD, et	Recovering contribution obligation						
	al.**	for RWQCP project	550	4,782,326	85,522	4,280,727	501,599	

Please note:

- (1) Some totals may include costs
- (2) Items shaded in blue are closed
- (3)*Contingency bond counsel payments are per individual bond transactions or recovery received
- (4)**Change order
- (5)***Litigation initiated by Mayor
- [1] Attorney's fees will be recovered from SCE